IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 8028 of 1996

Between:

J. Ravi Prasad, S/o J. Somalingam,

aged 43 years, working as part time Lecturer,

S.M.B.T.A.V. & S.N.Degree College,

Veeravasaram, West Godavari District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The Commissioner of Collegiate Education,
   Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

2. The Secretary & Correspondent,

S.M.B.T.A.V. & S.N. Degree College,
   Veeravasaram, West Godavari District.

3. The Secretary & Correspondent,

Gudlavalleru Kalasala, Gudlavalleru,

Krishna District.

4. J.N. Vasundhara, Lecturer in Zoology,

Gudlavalleru Kalasala, Gudlavalleru, Krishna Dist.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to

issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of writ of Mandamus, declaring the proceedings in Rc.No.4091/P C.I-4/94-95, dt: 4-4-1996 issued by the 1st respondent herein, as illegal, improper, without jurisdiction and contrary to the provisions of the A.P. College Service Commission Act, 1985 and the Rules made thereunder and further declare that the petitioner is entitled to continue as part-time Lecturer in Zoology in the 2nd respondent College till the regular selection and appointment is made.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.P.GANGAIAH NAIDU

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR HIGHER EDUCATION

Counsel for the Respondents 2 to 4: None appeared

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of the interim orders passed by this Court in this writ petition on 17.04.1996, no further orders are necessary.

2. The writ petition was filed, challenging the proceedings of the Commissioner of Collegiate Education, Hyderabad dated 04-04-1996 wherein 4th respondent-lecturer was transferred to the college in which the petitioner was working, under the apprehension that the services of the petitioner may be dispensed with. Under those circumstances, this Court directed continuity of petitioner service on Ad-hoc basis.

3. I am of the opinion that no further orders are necessary in view of the interim orders passed on 17.04.1996 and the writ petition itself outlived its purpose. This does not mean that the petitioner is entitled for any benefits automatically.

4. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of. No costs.

C.V.RAMULU,J.

Date: 02nd March 2005.

BSB

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Commissioner of Collegiate Education,

Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

2. Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Higher Education, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad (OUT).

3. Two CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**SUNITHA**}*